

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/084,869	03/01/2002	Quinn K. Tong	1988.EEM	7273		
75	7590 05/26/2005		EXAMINER			
Charles W. Al	Charles W. Almer			BUTTNER, DAVID J		
Counsel, I.P.						
NATIONAL STARCH AND CHEMICAL COMPANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
10 Finderne Avenue			1712			

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			()		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/084,869	TONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Buttner	1712			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.		
Status			!		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	<u>lay 2005</u> .		,		
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,8-14,16-21 and 24-29 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,8-14,16-21 and 24-29 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See the drawing (s) is objection is required if the drawing (s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			×-		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:)-152)		

Art Unit: 1712

Newly issued 6,833,629 having some common inventors with the instant application requires a new rejection. The finality of the last office action is withdrawn due to the emergence of the new reference.

Claims 1-5,8-14,16-21 and 24-29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that claims 1-5,8-14,16-21 and 24-29 fail(s) to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in the reply filed 12/9/04. In that paper (last page), applicant has stated reactive diluents would harm the invention, and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s) because claims 1 and 24 specifically call for the inclusion of reactive diluents.

Claims 1-5,8-14,16-21,25 and 27-29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 uses improper Markush language in describing e). "Consisting of" is necessary in Markush phrase.

Claims 1-5,8-14,16-21 and 24-29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ma '629.

Ma discloses an underfill composition, that includes two formulations of different curing temperatures. Solvents, fluxing agents and other additives can included (col 6 line 34). A pyromellitc anhydride-phenylmethylimidazole adduct is also present (col 6 line 25). Inherently, the composition would produce a smooth nontacky surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,869

Art Unit: 1712

Note that a statement of common ownership will only remove obvious type rejections - not anticipation rejections (MPEP 706.02(I)(1).

Claims 1-5,8-14,16-21 and 24-29 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of U.S. Patent No. 6833629 in view of Shi '896.

Ma claims an underfill that contains curable resins, applicant's imidazoleanhydride adduct an optional solvent. Ma's claims do not call for fluxing agents.

Fluxing agents are well known in underfill compositions. Shi (col 3 line 23) suggests fluxing agents in similar compositions. It would have been obvious to include a fluxing agent in Ma's claimed composition for its well known effect. Note that Ma also intends fluxes to be present (col 6 line 35).

Applicant's arguments filed 5/9/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The previous rejections are overcome because the specific imidazole-anhydride adduct now required is not believed suggested. However, the newly cited Ma reference does employ the specific adduct.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is 571-272-1084. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 10 to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1712

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Buttner

DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

5/24/05

Dord Batter